# **EFFECTS OF OXYGEN FREE RADICAL SCAVENGERS ON THE MEMBRANE MYOINOSITOL DEHYDROGENASE OF** *BACILLUS PUMILUS* **STRAIN** *5*

## MICHAEL 0. EZE, LINUS C. NNAMANI, RITA I. OJIAKO and A. UZOECHI OGAN

*Department of Biochemistry, University of Nigeria, Nsukka, Nigeria* 

*(Received February 161h 1987)* 

Micromolar amounts of superoxide dismutase (SOD) or parabenzoquinone (PBQ) inhibit the membranebound myoinositol dehydrogenase of Bacillus *pumzlus* strain *5* in the mode of this enzyme transferring electrons to 2,6-dichlorophenol indophenol (DCPIP). The inhibition trends are similar to those reported earlier by us for the inhibition by mannitol and benzoate. We postulate that the transfer of electrons from the enzyme to DCPIP involves in its rate-limiting step, a catalytic intermediate in the nature of superoxide  $(O_2^-)$  and/or hydroyl free radical  $(OH_1)$ . Scavenging of any one or both of these radicals, therefore, inhibits the electron transfer reaction. PBQ serves as an electron sink in the reaction preventing the reduction of DCPIP.

KEY WORDS: Oxygen free radicals, superoxide dismutase, parabenzoquinone, myoinositol dehvdrogenase, Bacillus *Pumilus.* 

## INTRODUCTION

Superoxide, the anionic free radical  $(0<sub>2</sub>)$  formed by one electron transfer to the oxygen molecule, is a common intermediate of oxygen reduction. This is because molecular oxygen in its ground state prefers univalent pathways of reduction.<sup>1,2</sup> A number of enzymes, including some that are membrane-bound, are known to involve  $O<sub>2</sub>$  in their mechanism of action.<sup>1.2.3</sup> Thus, respiring biological systems produce  $O<sub>2</sub>$ , and this could be dismutated spontaneously, or catalysed by superoxide dismutase (SOD), to hydrogen peroxide  $(H_2O_2)$ . These two strong oxidants could form a yet more potent oxidant, the hydroxyl free radical  $(OH<sub>1</sub>)$  through a metal catalysed Haber-Weiss reaction<sup>2,4</sup> as follows:

> $O_2 + e^- \longrightarrow O_2^ O_2^- + O_2^- + 2H^+ \longrightarrow H_2O_2 + O_2$  $O_2^-$  +  $H_2O_2$   $\longrightarrow$   $OH^-$  +  $OH^+$  +  $O_2$

A number of enzymes protect the respiring cell from the toxicity of these partially reduced oxygen species.<sup>2.4</sup> Evidence is now accumulating to the effect that the production of some/all of these radicals by macrophages, $\delta$  and granulocytes, $\delta$  is part of the

For personal use only.



All correspondence to: Dr. Michael O. Eze, Department of Biochemistry, University of Nigeria, Nsukka<br>- Nigeria.

body defence mechanism of higher animals against pathogens.<sup>2,4,5</sup> An enzyme which forms  $O_2$ ,  $H_2O_2$  or  $OH_2$  as obligatory intermediates in its rate-limiting electron transfer mechanism should, therefore, be expected to be inhibited in the presence of scavengers [of these oxygen centred species].

It has been reported that benzoate,<sup>2,4</sup> and mannitol<sup>4</sup> scavenge OH $\cdot$ . In our earlier preliminary report,<sup>6</sup> we showed that each of these two compounds, in micromolar amounts, inhibits the membrane bound myoinositol dehydrogenase of *Bacillus pumilus* strain 5. We present herein, additional data to the effect that both superoxide dismutase and parabenzoquinone (PBQ) also inhibit this enzyme.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Parabenzoquinone was supplied by British Drug Houses (BDH), Poole, England, while copper/zinc superoxide dismutase (SOD) type **1** was purchased from SIGMA Chemical Company, St. Louis, MO, USA. All other chemicals and reagents were of the highest purity available and were procured from standard sources previously reported.

Bacillus *pumilus* strain *5* was grown and membrane extracts prepared essentially as previously reported.6 The myoinositol dehydrogenase activity of the membrane fraction was assayed by the bleaching of 2,6-dichlorophenol indophenol (DCPIP) as detailed previously. SOD or PBQ was included as appropriate at myoinositol concentration of 0.2mM in each case. The method of Lowry *et al.'* was employed for measuring the protein content of the membrane extract, using bovine serum albumin as standard.

# RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Myoinositol dehydrogenase catalyses the first reaction in the metabolism of myoinositol. $\delta$  Neither the pathway, nor the mechanism of re-oxidation of this enzyme in its reduced form in B. *pumilus* strain *5* membrane has so far been reported. The data on the inhibition, by SOD and PBQ, of the transfer of electrons from myoinositol to DCPIP in the presence of the membrane-bound enzyme is shown in Table I. It is

varum		
Concentration М	Inhibition $\%$	
$8.0 \times 10^{-7}$ $1.6 \times 10^{-7}$	35 14	
$28.6 \times 10^{-5}$ $14.3 \times 10^{-5}$	22	
	$7.3 \times 10^{-5}$	

**TABLE I Effects of graded concentrations** of **superoxide dismutase and parabenzoquinone on membrane myoin-**

**ositol dehydrogenase of** *Bacillus pumilus* **strain 5** 

**The reaction mixture was set up and decrease in ab;orbance at** *600* **nm with time recorded as previously reported! When appropriate, superoxide dismutase** or **parabenzoquinone was included at the various concentrations indicated in the table. In each case, myoinositol concentration was maintained at 0.2 mM, and initial rates were calculated as detailed previously.6** 

RIGHTSLINK()

obvious that the inhibition by these two agents displays the same trend as those exhibited by the inhibition by mannitol and benzoate reported earlier.<sup>6</sup> All these inhibitors are chemically unrelated in structure. They, however, share the common property of being scavengers of oxygen free radicals. Mannitol and benzoate scavenge  $OH \cdot$  and SOD dismutes superoxide.<sup>4</sup>

If  $OH\cdot$  is the cactalytically relevant species during the transfer of electrons from the reduced form of myoinositol dehydrogenase to DCPIP, this reaction would be directly inhibited by scavengers of  $OH \cdot$ . If, on the other hand, the catalytically relevant free radical was  $O_2^{\dagger}$ , scavenging of OH $\cdot$  would shift the equilibrium of the Haber-Weiss reaction towards continuous formation of OH $\cdot$  at the expense of O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>. The transfer of electrons from myoinositol dehydrogenase to DCPIP would be equally inhibited.

The data (see Table I) for the inhibitory effects of PBQ is consistent with these results. Since this quinone is capable of mono-electron reduction,<sup>9</sup> we postulate that two molecules of the semiquinone undergo a disproportionation reaction as reported in Fig. 1. Thus PBQ becomes, in this reaction, a sink for electrons, possibly preventing



FIGURE 1 Mono-electron reduction of parabenzoquinone.

the formation of  $O<sub>r</sub>$  and/or OH $\cdot$ . This mechanism may also generally account for reports that quinones are free radical scavengers.1" Further investigations have been initiated to delineate the contributions of these findings to the understanding of the pathway and mechanism of electron transfer down the electron transport chain of Bacillus *pumilus* strain *5,* from the dehydrogenation of myoinositol.

Loschen and Azzi<sup>11</sup> reported that the quinone, dibromothymoquinone (DBTQ), inhibits mitochondria1 transport of electrons from either succinate or NADH, probably by competing with ubiquinone. On the basis of our "electron sink" postulate given above, this can be explained as follows: The inhibitory quinone (DBTQ) cannot anchor within the membrane lipid bilayer as (strategically as) ubiquinone would do via its long hydrophobic isoprenoid side chain.<sup>10</sup> Thus, electrons captured by the DBTQ (which now serves as an electron sink) are lost and, consequently, electron transport is inhibited.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Mr. J.U. Oraegbune for technical assistance and to the Department of Biochemistry, University of Nigeria, Nsukka, Nigeria, for making this investigation possible.

### *References*

- I. Fridovich, I. Ann. *Rev. Eiochem.,* **44, 147-159, (1975).**
- **2.**  Britton, L and Fridovich, I. J. *Eacteriol.,* **131, 815-820, (1977).**
- **3.**  Forman, H.J. and Kennedy, J. J. Biol. Chem., **250,** 4322-4326, (1975).
- **4.**  Babior, B.M. *New Engl. J. Med.*, **298,** 659–668 and **721-725**, (1978).
- **5.**  Allison, A.C. and Eugui, E.M. Ann. *Rev. Immunol.,* **1, 361-392, (1983).**
- **6.**  Eze, M.O., Okafor, E.I. and Okoronkwo, C.E. *Eur. J. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 15, 52-55, (1982).
- **7.**  Lowry, O.H., Rosebrough, N.J., Farr, A.L. and Randall, R.J. J. *Biol. Chem.,* **193, 265-275, (1951).**
- **8.**  Berman, T. and Magasanik, B. *J. Eiol. Chem.,* **241, 800-806, (1966).**
- **9.**  Finar, I.L. *Organic Chemistry* Vol. *1: The Fundamental Principles* (5th Edn., ELBS and Longman, London) p. **716 (1970).**
- **10.**  Metzler, D.E. *Biochemistry: The chemical reactions of living cells* (1st Edn., Academic Press, New York San Francisco London) p. **582 (1977).**
- 11. Loschen, *G.* and Azzi, A. *Febs Lett.,* **41, 115-117, (1974).**

#### **Accepted by Dr. J.V. Bannister**

